UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TEXARKANA DIVISION

TRAVELPASS GROUP, LLC, PARTNER FUSION, INC., and RESERVATION COUNTER, LLC,

Plaintiffs,

Case No. 5:18-cv-00153-RWS-CMC

v.

CAESARS ENTERTAINMENT
CORPORATION, CHOICE HOTELS
INTERNATIONAL, INC., HILTON
DOMESTIC OPERATING COMPANY, INC.,
HYATT HOTELS CORPORATION,
MARRIOTT INTERNATIONAL, INC., RED
ROOF INNS, INC., SIX CONTINENTS
HOTELS, INC., and WYNDHAM HOTEL
GROUP LLC.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendants.

DEFENDANTS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO AMEND THE DISCOVERY ORDER

Plaintiffs' suggestion that Defendants' Motion to Amend the Discovery Order (Dkt. No. 355, "Motion") is untimely is simply incorrect. And Plaintiffs have failed to articulate how any perceived delay prejudices them or otherwise disrupts the efficient progress of the case. As detailed below, Defendants' Motion should be granted to allow the Counterclaimants to proffer expert testimony in support of their counterclaims.

As to timeliness, Defendants filed their Motion on October 7, 2020—almost two months before the parties with the burden of proof are required to disclose expert witnesses, three months before parties are required to disclose rebuttal expert witnesses, and over three months before the close of expert discovery. *See* Dkt. No. 285. Indeed, Defendants first raised this issue as early as

February 2020, seven months before filing the pending Motion.¹ Dkt. No. 364 at p. 3. To the extent Plaintiffs suggest that they would somehow be prejudiced in light of the approaching fact discovery deadline, the argument makes no sense. If Plaintiffs believed that they needed additional fact discovery to address the counterclaims, they could and should have sought such discovery during the allotted period—indeed, they did precisely that, including by requesting additional email custodians, ESI, and search terms as well as additional depositions from some Counterclaimants. Allowing expert testimony to address counterclaim-specific issues has no bearing on that. Plaintiffs would be in the same position regardless.

Plaintiffs' arguments that they will be prejudiced if additional experts are permitted because they will not have sufficient time to rebut those experts' testimony and the trial date will have to move as a result also ignores the practical realities of the schedule. To be sure, Defendants share Plaintiffs' concerns about the current case schedule, including in particular the time allotted for rebuttal expert reports (*see* Dkt. No. 365). Putting aside the irony that Plaintiffs are complaining about being prejudiced by the exact same rebuttal expert timeline Defendants are subject to, the compressed deadlines the Parties face under the current case schedule and the number of experts permitted are completely unrelated. Plaintiffs do not deny that Defendants could in theory use one or more of the currently permitted three expert slots originally contemplated to address Plaintiffs' claims to instead address counterclaim-specific issues. In that event, Plaintiffs would still be subject to the same rebuttal expert discovery timeline. As the Court is aware, Defendants recently filed a Motion to Amend the Docket Control Order in large part to address the compressed expert disclosure and discovery schedule, as well as the other compressed

¹ Defendants did not believe their request to amend the Discovery Order would require motion practice based on prior conversations with Plaintiffs' counsel, especially considering Plaintiffs' requests to modify this Court's orders for the exact same reasons (*see* Dkt. Nos. 355-2 and 355-3). Nevertheless, Defendants filed this Motion as soon as the parties reached an impasse.

pretrial intervals that resulted from the last amended Docket Control Order, which in turn would result in moving the trial date. Plaintiffs plan to oppose that motion, assuming they would not be subject to the compressed expert rebuttal schedule, but faced with the prospect that they may, they claim prejudice. But any prejudice applies to the parties equally. In any event, nothing about the number of experts permitted itself affects the schedule or trial date—they are independent issues and Defendants will meet the dates set by the Court.

Finally, Plaintiffs mistakenly argue that the Defendants are required to identify which experts they intend to use or disclose the specific subject matter of their testimony prior to the Court's deadline. But requiring disclosure by one side prior to the court-ordered deadline is not standard practice in this Court or any other court in the Eastern District of Texas. Under the Docket Control Order, the Parties with the burden of proof are not required to disclose their expert witnesses until November 30, 2020. Dkt. No. 285. Although the Counterclaimants may not have finally decided which expert(s) they will designate, or the precise subject matter of their testimony, they are not yet required to do so under the current Docket Control Order. The Counterclaimants have, however, informed both the Court and Plaintiffs that they intend to timely designate "some combination of a damages expert and one or more experts that may testify on certain of the likelihood of confusion factors [that] would assist the Court in understanding the nature and consequences of the behavior set forth in the counterclaims." Dkt. No. 355 at p. 4. This is more information than is required at this stage, and more information than Plaintiffs have disclosed about any of their potential expert witnesses.

For all these reasons, and the reasons discussed in Defendants' Motion (Dkt. No. 355), Defendants respectfully request the Court amend Paragraph 4(e) of the Discovery Order to allow each side to proffer up to five joint expert witnesses.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Jennifer H. Doan

Jennifer H. Doan Texas Bar No. 08809050

Cole A Piddell

Cole A. Riddell

Texas Bar No. 24105423

HALTOM & DOAN

6500 Summerhill Rd., Suite 100

Texarkana, TX 75503 Telephone: (903) 255-1000 Facsimile: (903) 255-0800 Email: jdoan@haltomdoan.com

Email: jdoan@naitomdoan.com Email: criddell@haltondoan.com

Shari Ross Lahlou DECHERT LLP 1900 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006

Telephone: (202) 261-3300 Facsimile: (202) 261-3333

Email: shari.lahlou@dechert.com

Jeffrey L. Poston Luke van Houwelingen CROWELL & MORING LLP 1001 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20004 Telephone: (202) 624-2500

Facsimile: (202) 624-5116 Email: JPoston@crowell.com

Email: LvanHouwelingen@crowell.com

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT MARRIOTT INTERNATIONAL, INC.

/s/ David Reichenberg

David Reichenberg

COZEN O'CONNOR

277 Park Avenue

New York, NY 10172

Tel: (212) 883-4956

Fax: (212) 986-0604

dreichenberg@cozen.com

David E. Zerhusen COZEN O'CONNOR

3753 Howard Hughes Parkway, Ste. 200

Las Vegas, NV 89169 Tel: (702) 470-2320 Fax: (702) 470-2355

dzerhusen@cozen.com

Lezlie Madden COZEN O'CONNOR 1650 Market Street, Ste. 2800 Philadelphia, PA 19103 Tel: (215) 665-7286 Fax: (215) 701-2127 Imadden@cozen.com

William E. Davis, III DAVIS FIRM P.C. 213 North Fredonia Longview, TX 75601 Tel: (903) 230-9090 bdavis@bdavisfirm.com

COUNSEL FOR CAESARS ENTERTAINMENT CORPORATION

/s/ Justin W. Bernick

Justin W. Bernick HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP Columbia Square 555 Thirteenth Street, NW Washington, DC 20004

Tel: (202) 637-5600 Fax: (202) 637-5910

justin.bernick@hoganlovells.com

Maria Wyckoff Boyce HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP 609 Main Street, Ste. 4200 Houston, TX 77002

Tel: (713) 632-1410 Fax: (713) 632-1401

maria.boyce@hoganlovells.com

Michael E. Jones Robert E. Sterken, III POTTER MINTON PC 110 North College Avenue, Suite 500 Tyler, TX 75702 Tel: (903) 597-8311 Fax: (903) 593-0846

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT CHOICE HOTELS INTERNATIONAL, INC.

/s/ Jennifer Parker Ainsworth

mikejones@potterminton.com robert.sterken@potterminton.com

Jennifer Parker Ainsworth Texas State Bar No. 00784720 WILSON, ROBERTSON & CORNELIUS, P.C. 909 ESE Loop 323, Ste. 400 Tyler, Texas 75701

Tel: (903) 509-5000 Fax: (903) 509-5092

jainsworth@wilsonlawfirm.com

Carrie C. Mahan Brianne L. Kucerik Jonathan S. Goldsmith WEIL GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP 2001 M Street, N.W., Ste. 600 Washington, D.C. 20036

Tel: (202) 682-7000 Fax: (202) 857-0940 carrie.mahan@weil.com brianne.kucerik@weil.com jonathan.goldsmith@weil.com

Randi W. Singer
Adam Hemlock
Xiaoxi Tu
Rachel E. Crosswell
WEIL GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10153
Tel: (212) 310-8000
Fax: (202) 310-8007
randi.singer@weil.com
adam.hemlock@weil.com
xiaoxi.tu@weil.com
rachel.crosswell@weil.com

COUNSEL FOR HILTON DOMESTIC OPERATING COMPANY INC. AND HILTON INTERNATIONAL HOLDING LLC

/s/ Jeffrey S. Cashdan Jeffrey S. Cashdan (admitted pro hac vice) jcashdan@kslaw.com Emily S. Newton (admitted pro hac vice) enewton@kslaw.com Russell Blythe (admitted pro hac vice) rblythe@kslaw.com Danielle Chattin (admitted pro hac vice) dchattin@kslaw.com Lohr A. Beck (admitted pro hac vice) Lohr.beck@kslaw.com Logan Hobson (admitted pro hac vice) lhobson@kslaw.com KING & SPALDING LLP 1180 Peachtree Street, NE Atlanta, GA 30309

Telephone: (404) 572-4600 Facsimile: (404) 572-5100

Deron Dacus
Texas Bar No. 00790553
Shannon Dacus
Texas Bar No. 00791004
THE DACUS FIRM, P.C.
821 ESE Loop 323
Suite 430
Tyler, TX 75701
Tel: (903) 705-1117

Tel: (903) 705-1117 Fax: (903) 581-2543 ddacus@dacusfirm.com sdacus@dacusfirm.com

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT SIX CONTINENTS HOTELS, INC.

/s/ Lance Lee

Lance Lee Texas Bar No. 24004762 Attorney at Law 5511 Plaza Drive Texarkana, TX 75503 Tel: (903) 223-0276

Fax: (903) 223-0270 wlancelee@gmail.com

Paula J. Morency

Ann H. MacDonald
Michael K. Molzberger
SCHIFF HARDIN LLP
233 S. Wacker Drive, Ste. 7100
Chicago, IL 60606
Tel: (312) 258-5500
Fax: (312) 258-5600
pmorency@schiffhardin.com
amacdonald@schiffhardin.com
mmolzberger@schiffhardin.com

Robert J. Wierenga SCHIFF HARDIN LLP 350 South Main Street, Ste. 210 Ann Arbor, MI 48104 Tel: (734) 222-1500 Fax: (734) 222-1501 rwierenga@schiffhardin.com

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT
WYNDHAM HOTEL GROUP LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that the foregoing document was filed electronically in compliance with Local Rule CV-5(a). As such, this document was served on all counsel who have consented to electronic service, on this 30th day of October, 2020.

/s/ Jennifer H. Doan
Jennifer H. Doan